SQUATTERS UNION. Views and Information Who Me? 215 Victoria St. (1916) Colling wood.

It's 7:30 a.m. .I catch the no. 11 tram to work.Looking at the other commuter's faces I wonder why I can't feel any kind of solidarity or collective purposefullness.I know alienation. Emotionally naked, raw like tripe in the Brunswick Street butchers.Emetic.

Can I ever feel a pert of all this. These faces of misery. Businessman, factory workers, secretaries, fleas lice vermin shitkickers. Emotionally discharged. Texpid swollen bathwater.

Jesus, the trams going down Latrobe Street, not Collins. Now I'm going to have to walk. I've got a 65¢ ticket.

Can I ever belong to this.

Belsen-Bergen is as much a state of mind as a physical reality.



WHITE TEA The teabags don't work so good the second time around, here in Kensington there's no peace to be found. In one day, and out the next, by the course of justice, I'm left perplexed. Though in we went, hardship most grave, but we were given the same old rave. The Ministry of Housing, tries to bury its mistakes, so it can afford to indulge in retakes. The individual means nothing, to the beaurocrats of the state, less than a stale shit, is all we rate. So now we're homeless, the gutter tonight, it's for naught, we've put up a fight. The teabags don't work so good the second time around, here in Kensington there's no peace to be found.





THORNBURY

Here in Thornbury,
by the light of day,
I'm nursing a hangover,
that won'T go away.
There's no water to quench my thirst,
it's bad news slumming,
cause the Ministry of Housing,
has wrecked the plumbing.
Sitting around,
waiting for THEM to arrive,
to argue against,
the schemes THEY contrive.
So let's forge a tram ticket,
and go to the R.T.T.,
and hope this time,
we get tennancy.



MOVING

Whilst trying to overcome the inertia state, being told to sit and wait, instead of sitting and rotting, I took my rights in hand and took up squatting. What's the ministry trying to prove, when every two months I've got to move, defending a worn out system backs to the wall, makes no sense to me at all. So now and again it's back to the R.T.T., to have the same old rave given at me, I wonder when the barsteds will see, they're off their bloody tree.


985: what a brilliant yean HOUSES KEW: 22 bedrooms, 10 Kitcher The myth of the australian dream Bathrooms, soon to de Leveloped to "owning your own home" is constant profit purposes. Must be occupied soon ly perpetrated andpercieved as the en masse. Soit politically active position with aims of abolishing most viable and attractive form of housing available and yet all it personal greed orientated profit does is condemn most people into life-long debt thus dening them owners scheme"FHOS, but the average of adequate amounts of other basic income of applicants under FHOS needs like food and clothing and is80-85% of average weekly earning proving yet a another avenue for AWE. Those on pensions and benefits the rich to increase their profits recieve less than 25% of AWE thus buying and selling land, keeping making the scheme irrelevant to prices artificially high (includin those in greatest housing poverty. g rents)to increase their revenue TO under line further govt insensi at the expence of peoples needs. tivity the current federal ministe Successive australian governments r for housing has un-committed the have pursued a full, home ownership Labour govt from its election prom policy directing massive subsides ise to double public housing stock towards home buyers to enforce in 10 years and predicted it would this myth. Tostrengthen their prbably take 20 years at current argument they built monolithic monstroseties to put people off funding And so now we have a situa tion where those in greatest hous public housing ie to encorage ing need are put on waiting list private ownership and ignore up to 7 years long, are unable to en all other housing stratigies. ter the private market because of Centralising those most in need the parasites that control it and also enforces hierachys and entren make massive profits from it. To me chs bureaucracies with little the most viable alternatives are flexibility and no imagination. squatting and rental co-ops which A token gesture the pesent govt directly or indirectly push for is-offering is the "first home more non-profit housing(more on co-ops next issue) so if your sick of empty govt promises(let alone empty houses) and yhe hipocritical hyperbole of the private sector parasites help stop the rot and SQUAT: PH 419 0047

e're waiting for your call

The Gower Street

Gower Street was an education in more ways than one. It gave me a good look at the legal system that controls the public housing, it gave me a lesson on different lifestyles, and it gave me an even harder lesson about people's characters.

As far as I can see, squatting Ministry of Housing houses will always be a lost battle unless a feasible argument can be formulated against the MOH's queue jumping defence. It is not enough to prove your own hardship, you must also disprove theirs. Squatting MOH houses is, however, still a strong political statement, and is absolutely necessary to keep up the pressure on the government to do something about the public housing system.



If the people near the top of the waiting list became interested in squatting, then it is possible that tenancies (and hence, precedents) could result. Unfortunately, most people at the top of the list are worried that squatting may jeory—padise their position on the waiting list resulting in a much extended waiting period for a home. This is probably quite true but it could also be used in the squatters avour, in a case of hardship.

Because of this worry of additional waiting, most of the squatters in the pub-lic eye tend to be young and single, thus placing them in the bracket of people least eligible for public housing; another barrier to overcome in the Residential Tenancies

The only defence the squatter has in the RTT is section 135 of the Residential tenancies Act which says that tenancy should be graphed if their hardship (caused by

the RTT is section 135 of the Residential Tenancies Act which says that tenancy should be granted if their hardship (caused by being evicted) is greater than the landlords through letting them stay. Although this argument is no good in the cases involoving MOH residences, I think that most other houses, both government and private, would be "fair game" to section 135 because they are not listed as public housing stock. Of course care would still have to be taken in regards to how long they had been empty. (this is especially important in regards private places)

Public relations were a major downfall in regards to Gower Street, with alot of aggression from the local youths who saw us as second class citizens, and infringers on their territory. This led to them considering our homes as "theirs" and coming into them in the early hours of the morning, uninvited and unwanted. This aggression was compounded by the irresponsibility of some of the squatters through which uninvolved local were abused and pushed on the street. This, of course, enraged the local youth even more as they considered Kensington their beat and therefore only they were allowed to abuse and push the locals.

Alot of good public relations did exist initially, through distributing leaflets and talking to local residents. This got a good response and locals even signed a petition of support for the squats. Unfortunately this initial contact was destroyed by

- (a) the activities of some of the squatters
- (b) abuse of the locals by squatters
- (c) abuse of the squatters by local youth
- (d) lies and half-truths spread about the squats.



whilst one or two of the squatters were irresponsible, it should be remembered that ALL the squatters were subject to constant harrassment by young locals, drunk and agg-ressive, at all hours of the night. There were situations where windows were broken and squatters threatened with knives. During all of this harrassment the squatters remain-ed passive and tried to reason with the people involved. Some squatters were even assaultedbut raised no finger. Unfortunately the one or two squatters who were making it difficult failed to see the conection between their "high profile" activities and the harr-assment.


Had the squatters realised their part in the problem, and rectified it, then all would have been in a much better position to reason with the young people of the area. Also the youth would have had no justification for their attacks and hence no support from the rest of the community. (This grew as the conflict and noise at the squats continued).

for political purposes, eg. pressuring the MOH, then I feel it is important to have the right people to squat it. It should be treated as a political action and not confused with the emergency housing side of squatting. People who—are not politically 'interested' can be damaging to the squat because they are not concious of the importance of public relations and public image. This leads to conflict, not only between squatters and the community, but between squatters themselves.

So it would seem to me, that although squatting can be seen, and is, an alternative to the public housing farce, it should never become confused with the political side,

Nor should it be allowed to get in the way of political squatting (with exceptions of course), since it is unlikely that squatting will ever become accepted as a 'legal form of obtaining housing.

M.T. HOLMES. SQUATTERS UNION VICTORIA
215 VICTORIA PARADE
COLLINGWON 3066 4190047



ODDESSEY OF TENANTS:

Three weeks ago my household received its eviction day date at the Residential Tenancy Tribrunial: 24/12/'85, Xmas Eve. If we are not outby then the landlord has promised to throw us, and all our belongings, onto the street; some Xmas present!

Three hosehold members have been evicted eight times in five years. This is my second eviction for '85. Why were we evicted, what is our crime?

Poverty is our crime. We quite simply cannot afford the rents demanded by landlords. Half our income goes on rent, the other half on subsistence products such as food. This excludes all luxury items such as new clothes; or anything new for that matter.

In a society as affluent as Australia the fact that people cannot housing or basic food stuffs is a moral and ethical outrage. Some affluence, better to call it the power of the effluent society.

So we Xmas day, at least half (4 people) will be homeless, the other half being able to afford to move. Half my household cannot afford moving expenses, cannot afford the expenses of a new house (bond, utility connection fees, etc.), and cannot realistically afford rent above \$25 per week. Rent in Melbourne is never this low anymore.


Like so many people, these four people are too afraid to squat. They are emotionally incapeable of defying authority; of breaking the vicious circle of eviction and tenancy, eviction and tenancy.


The system has destroyed their ability to react against such moral and social inadequacies, even on a personal level. They are passive compliants in self-destructive pointless society based on necrophilia.

They willnot, and cannot, actively participate in this society. They are the victims and will continue to be so.

All tenants are victims.
Capitalism equates power with property with ownership. Hence tenants are by definition powerless in Austalia. Eviction is a tenants way of life, poverty being the cause and the crime.

So what's new.





the world is shared Equally.

